

THE SPECTRAL GEOMETRY OF THE RIEMANN CURVATURE OPERATOR IN THE HIGHER SIGNATURE SETTING

C. DUNN, P. GILKEY, R. IVANOVA, AND S. NIKČEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We study the spectral geometry of the Riemann curvature tensor for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and provide some examples illustrating the phenomena which can arise in the higher signature setting.

Dedication: This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleague Prof. Gr. Tsagas who studied the spectral geometry of the Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many authors have studied the spectral geometry of the Laplacian for a compact Riemannian manifold; see, for example, Tsagas [36, 37] for additional references. Let $\Delta_q := (d\delta + \delta d)_q$ be the q form valued Laplacian. Tsagas [38] showed that if (M, g) is an m dimensional closed Riemannian manifold, then there exists $q = q(m)$ so that (M, g) has the same q spectrum as that of a round sphere S^m if and only if (M, g) is in fact isometric to S^m . Thus round spheres are characterized by their q spectrum; there are many other results relating the spectrum of the Laplacian to the underlying geometry of the manifold.

In this brief note, we shall discuss the spectral geometry of the Riemann curvature tensor. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature (p, q) and dimension $m := p + q$. The associated curvature operator and curvature tensor are defined by setting:

$$R(x, y) := \nabla_x \nabla_y - \nabla_y \nabla_x - \nabla_{[x, y]}, \quad \text{and} \\ R(x, y, z, w) := g(R(x, y)z, w).$$

Instead of examining the L^2 spectrum of the Laplacian, one assumes that a natural operator which is associated to R has constant Jordan normal form on the associated domain of definition and then studies the attendant geometric consequences. Such questions were first raised in the seminal works of Ivanova-Stanilov [25] and Osserman [31] and are a natural analogue of the questions studied for the Laplacian. In the Riemannian setting ($p = 0$), the operators in question are self-adjoint or skew-adjoint and the spectrum determines the conjugacy class of the operator. However if the metric is indefinite, then this is no longer the case, so one works with the Jordan normal form rather than with the eigenvalues.

Here is a brief outline to this paper. In Section 2, we present the basic definitions and give a short survey of the current state of the field. Certain questions are essentially settled for Riemannian manifolds or for Lorentzian manifolds ($p = 1$). However, relatively little is known in the higher signature setting. In Section 3 we shall exhibit some examples to illustrate phenomena which arise for manifolds of higher signature. We conclude the paper with a rather lengthy bibliography to serve as a partial introduction to the field.

Date: Version W04-TsagasVolume-v2d.tex - Last Changed 13 November 2003.

Key words and phrases. Conformal Osserman manifold, Ivanov-Petrova manifold, Jacobi operator, Osserman manifold, skew-symmetric curvature operator, Stanilov operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B20.

sect-2

2. NATURAL OPERATORS DEFINED BY THE RIEMANN CURVATURE TENSOR

2.1. The Jacobi operator J . Set

eqn-2.a

$$(2.a) \quad J(x) : y \rightarrow R(y, x)x;$$

this self-adjoint operator plays an important role in the study of geodesic sprays. One says (M, g) is *spacelike* (resp. *timelike*) *Jordan Osserman* if the Jordan normal form of J is constant on the bundle of unit spacelike (resp. timelike) tangent vectors in TM .

In the Riemannian setting ($p = 0$), the Jordan normal form is determined by the eigenvalue structure and, as every vector is spacelike, we shall drop the qualifiers ‘spacelike’ and ‘Jordan’. This is not true in the higher signature context which is why we focus on the Jordan normal form, i.e. the conjugacy class, instead of only on the eigenvalue structure.

One has the following results due to Chi [9] and to Nikolayevsky [28, 29] in the Riemannian setting and to N. Blažić et. al. [2] and to García-Río et. al. [11] in the Lorentzian setting; the classification is essentially complete here:

thm-2.1

Theorem 2.1.

- (1) *Let (M, g) be an Osserman Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \neq 8, 16$. Then either (M, g) is locally isometric to a rank 1 symmetric space or (M, g) is flat.*
- (2) *Let (M, g) be a spacelike or timelike Jordan Osserman Lorentzian manifold. Then (M, g) has constant sectional curvature.*

We refer to [12] for further details; it contains an excellent discussion of the spectral geometry of the Jacobi operator.

2.2. The higher order Jacobi operator. Let $S(\pi)$ be the sphere of unit spacelike (resp. timelike) vectors in a spacelike (resp. timelike) k dimensional subspace of TM . Let

$$J(\pi) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}\{S(V)\}} \int_{x \in S(V)} J(x) dx$$

be the average Jacobi operator. If $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for π , then modulo a suitable normalizing constant which plays no role in our development,

$$J(\pi) = \sum_i J(e_i).$$

These operators were first defined by Stanilov and Videv [34] in the Riemannian context. One says that (M, g) is *spacelike* (resp. *timelike*) *Jordan k -Osserman* if the Jordan normal form of J is constant on the Grassmannian of spacelike (resp. timelike) k planes of TM , where $2 \leq k \leq q$ (resp. $2 \leq k \leq p$). One has the following classification result in the Riemannian [15] and Lorentzian [22] settings:

thm-2.2

Theorem 2.2. *Let (M, g) be a spacelike Jordan k -Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) .*

- (1) *If $p = 0$ and if $2 \leq k \leq m - 2$, then (M, g) has constant sectional curvature.*
- (2) *If $p = 0$ and if $k = m - 1$, then either (M, g) is locally isometric to a rank 1 symmetric space or (M, g) is flat.*
- (3) *If $p = 1$ and if $2 \leq k \leq m - 1$, then (M, g) has constant sectional curvature.*

2.3. The skew-symmetric curvature operator \mathcal{R} . Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis for an oriented spacelike or timelike 2 plane π . One defines

eqn-2.b

$$(2.b) \quad \mathcal{R}(\pi) := R(e_1, e_2);$$

this skew-symmetric operator is independent of the particular orthonormal basis which was chosen for π . It is, however, sensitive to the orientation of π ; if $-\pi$ denotes π with the opposite orientation, then $\mathcal{R}(-\pi) = -\mathcal{R}(\pi)$. The manifold (M, g) is said to be *spacelike* (resp. *timelike*) *Jordan Ivanov-Petrova* if for every

$P \in M$, the Jordan normal form of \mathcal{R} is constant on the spacelike (resp. timelike) 2 planes in $T_P M$; in contrast to the Jacobi operator, the Jordan normal form is allowed to vary with the point in question.

In addition to manifolds of constant sectional curvature, there are warped product metrics which are both spacelike and timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. Let $M := I \times N$, where I is an open sub-interval of \mathbb{R} and where (N, g_N) is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature κ . Let

eqn-2. c

$$(2.c) \quad g_M := \varepsilon dt^2 + \{\varepsilon \kappa t^2 + At + B\} g_N \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

The sub-interval I is chosen so that the warping function $\kappa t^2 + At + B \neq 0$ (this ensures the metric is non-degenerate) and so that $A^2 - \varepsilon \kappa B \neq 0$ (this ensures the metric is not flat). The manifold (M, g_M) is then both spacelike and timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova [14].

The classification of such manifolds is essentially complete in the Riemannian setting [16, 20, 24] and in the Lorentzian setting [23, 41]; there are some partial results due to Stavrov [35] if $p \geq 2$:

thm-2.3

Theorem 2.3. *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) which is spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova.*

- (1) *If $p = 0$, if $m \geq 4$, and if $m \neq 7$, then either (M, g) has constant sectional curvature or (M, g) is locally isometric to a manifold as in Equation (2.c).*
- (2) *If $q \geq 11$ and if $\{q, q+1\}$ does not contain a power of 2, then either (M, g) has constant sectional curvature or (M, g) is locally isometric to a manifold as in Equation (2.c).*
- (3) *If $q \geq 11$, if $1 \leq p \leq (q-6)/4$, if the set $\{q, q+1, \dots, q+p\}$ does not contain a power of 2, and if $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ is not nilpotent for some 2 plane π , then either (M, g) has constant sectional curvature or (M, g) is locally isometric to a manifold as in Equation (2.c).*

Assertion (3) can be used to derive results for timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova manifolds by changing the sign of the metric in question and interchanging the roles of p and q . We refer to [14] for additional results concerning Ivanov-Petrova manifolds. The manifolds given in Sections 3.3 and 3.4 will be spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova but will neither have constant sectional curvature nor have the form given in Equation (2.c).

2.4. The Stanilov operator. Let π be a spacelike or timelike 2 plane in TM . Let $\text{Gr}_2(\pi)$ be the Grassmannian of oriented 2 planes in π . The Stanilov operator is an average of the square of the skew-symmetric curvature operator:

$$\Theta(\pi) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\text{Gr}_2(\pi))} \int_{\pi \in \text{Gr}_2(\pi)} \mathcal{R}(\pi)^2 d\pi.$$

This operator is self-adjoint; it is necessary to square \mathcal{R} to obtain a non-zero average since $\mathcal{R}(-\pi) = -\mathcal{R}(\pi)$.

If $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for π , then modulo a suitable normalizing constant which plays no role in the development,

$$\Theta(\pi) = \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)^2.$$

This operator was first defined by Stanilov [32, 33] in the Riemannian context. One says that (M, g) is *spacelike* (resp. *timelike*) *Jordan k -Stanilov* if the Jordan normal form of Θ is constant on the Grassmannian of spacelike (resp. timelike) k planes of $T_P M$, where $2 \leq k \leq q$ (resp. $2 \leq k \leq p$) for every $P \in M$; as with the skew-symmetric curvature operator, the Jordan normal form is permitted to vary with the point of M .

We refer to [21] for the proof of the following result:

thm-2.4

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M, g) be a connected spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) . Assume either that $(p, q) = (0, 4)$ or that $q \geq 5$. Assume that $R(\pi)$ is not nilpotent for at least one spacelike 2 plane in TM and that \mathcal{R} has spacelike rank 2 for all $P \in M$. Then*

- (1) *(M, g) is spacelike Jordan k -Stanilov for any $2 \leq k \leq q$.*
- (2) *(M, g) is timelike Jordan k -Stanilov for any $2 \leq k \leq p$.*

thm-2.5

Theorem 2.5. *Let (M, g) be a connected Riemannian manifold of dimension m , where $m \neq 3, 7$. If (M, g) is 2-Stanilov, then (M, g) is Ivanov-Petrova.*

We also refer to [39] for related results. We shall exhibit manifolds which are spacelike k Jordan Stanilov for any k but which are not spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova in the higher signature setting in Section 3.

2.5. Subspaces of mixed type. It is not necessary to restrict to spacelike or timelike planes in discussing the skew-symmetric curvature operator, the higher order Jacobi operator, or the Stanilov operator. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a oriented basis for a non-degenerate oriented 2 plane π of signature $(1, 1)$. Let $g_{ij} = g(e_i, e_j)$ describe the metric on π . Set:

$$\mathcal{R}(\pi) := |\det(g)|^{-1/2} R(e_i, e_j).$$

One says that (M, g) is *mixed Jordan Ivanov-Petrova* if the Jordan normal form of $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ is constant on the Grassmannian of oriented 2 planes of signature $(1, 1)$ in $T_P M$ for every $P \in M$; the Jordan normal form is allowed to vary with the point. The manifolds described in Equation (2.c) are spacelike, timelike, and mixed Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. In Theorem 3.2, we present manifolds which are spacelike and timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova but which are not mixed Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. In Theorem 3.6, we present manifolds which are spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova but which are neither mixed nor timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. Thus these concepts are distinct.

Similarly, let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be a basis for an unoriented non-degenerate k plane π . Let $g_{ij} := g(e_i, e_j)$. One can define

$$J(\pi)(y) := \sum_{ij} g^{ij} R(y, e_i) e_j, \quad \text{and} \\ \Theta(\pi)y := \sum_{ijkl} g^{ij} g^{kl} R(e_i, e_k) R(e_j, e_l) y.$$

Using these operators, one can define the notions *Jordan Osserman of type (r, s)* and *Jordan Stanilov of type (r, s)* by requiring that the Jordan normal form of $J(\pi)$ or $\Theta(\pi)$ is constant on the Grassmannian of non-degenerate planes of type (r, s) . We omit details in the interests of brevity.

2.6. Conformal geometry. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be a basis for $T_P M$. Let

$$\rho(x, y) := \sum_{ij} g^{ij} R(x, e_i, e_j, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau := \sum_{ij} g^{ij} \rho(e_i, e_j)$$

be the *Ricci tensor* and the *scalar curvature*, respectively. The *Weyl conformal curvature* W is then defined by setting:

$$W(x, y, z, w) := R(x, y, z, w) + \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} \tau \{g(x, w)g(y, z) - g(x, z)g(y, w) \\ - \frac{1}{m-2} \{\rho(x, w)g(y, z) + \rho(y, z)g(x, w) - \rho(x, z)g(y, w) - \rho(y, w)g(x, z)\}.$$

One generalizes Equations (2.a) and (2.b) setting:

$$J_W(x) : y \rightarrow W(y, x)x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_W(\pi) : y \rightarrow W(e_1, e_2)y.$$

One says that (M, g) is *conformally spacelike* (resp. *timelike*) *Jordan Osserman* if the Jordan normal form of J_W is constant on $S(T_P M)$; the Jordan normal form is permitted to vary with the point $P \in M$. Similarly, one says that (M, g) is *conformally spacelike* (resp. *timelike*) *Ivanov-Petrova* if the Jordan normal form

of \mathcal{R}_W is constant on the appropriate Grassmannian of $T_P M$; again, the Jordan normal form is permitted to vary with the point of M .

One says that two metrics g_1 and g_2 on M are *conformally equivalent* if there exists a smooth positive conformal factor $\alpha \in C^\infty(M)$ so $g_1 = \alpha g_2$. One then has

$$W_{g_1} = \alpha W_{g_2}.$$

The notions defined above are conformal invariants [4]:

thm-2.6

Theorem 2.6. *Let g_1 and g_2 be conformally equivalent pseudo-Riemannian metrics on a manifold M . Then:*

- (1) *(M, g_1) is conformally spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Osserman if and only if (M, g_2) is conformally spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Osserman.*
- (2) *(M, g_1) is conformally spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Ivanov-Petrova if and only if (M, g_2) is conformally spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Ivanov-Petrova.*

One also has

thm-2.7

Theorem 2.7. *If (M, g) is Einstein, then (M, g) is conformally spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Osserman if and only if (M, g) is pointwise spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Osserman.*

The classification of conformally spacelike Jordan Osserman manifolds is complete in certain settings. One says that (M, g) is *conformally flat* if $W = 0$ or, equivalently, if g is locally conformally equivalent to a flat metric. Note that metrics of constant sectional curvature are conformally flat. We refer to [4] for the proof of Assertion (1) and to [3] for the proof of Assertion (2) in the following result:

thm-2.8

Theorem 2.8. *Let (M, g) be a conformally Osserman Riemannian manifold of dimension m .*

- (1) *If m is odd, then (M, g) is conformally flat.*
- (2) *If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and if P is a point of M where $W_P \neq 0$, then (M, g) is locally conformally equivalent near P either to complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric or to the negative curvature dual.*

thm-2.9

Theorem 2.9. *If (M, g) is a conformally spacelike or conformally timelike Jordan Osserman Lorentzian manifold, then (M, g) has constant sectional curvature.*

Similarly, there are results for conformally spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova manifolds [4]:

thm-2.10

Theorem 2.10.

- (1) *Let (M, g) be a conformally Ivanov-Petrova Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \neq 3, 7$. Then (M, g) is conformally flat.*
- (2) *Let (M, g) be a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) which is conformally spacelike Ivanov-Petrova. Assume that $q \geq 11$, that $p \leq \frac{q-6}{4}$, and that $\{q, q+1, \dots, q+p\}$ does not contain a power of 2. Then either $W(\pi)$ is nilpotent for every spacelike 2 plane or (M, g) is conformally flat.*

sect-3

3. THE HIGHER SIGNATURE SETTING

As noted in the previous section, there are many classification results available in the Riemannian and Lorentzian settings. The situation is much less clear in the higher signature setting ($p > 1, q > 1$).

3.1. Curvature homogeneous manifolds. We follow Kowalski, Tricerri, and Vanhecke [26, 27] and say that (M, g) is *curvature homogeneous* if given any two points $P, Q \in M$, there is an isomorphism $\Psi : T_P M \rightarrow T_Q M$ so $\Psi^* g_Q = g_P$ and so $\Psi^* R_Q = R_P$. Similarly, (M, g) is said to be *locally homogeneous* if the local isometries of (M, g) act transitively on (M, g) .

The manifold (M, g) is said to be *locally symmetric* if $\nabla R = 0$. Locally symmetric manifolds are locally homogeneous and locally homogeneous manifolds are curvature homogeneous. What is interesting from our point of view is that the converse fails in general; there are curvature homogeneous manifolds which are not locally homogeneous.

There is by now an extensive literature on the subject of curvature homogeneous manifolds in the Riemannian setting, see, for example, the discussion in [5, 40]. There are also a number of papers in the Lorentzian setting [7, 8] and also in the affine setting [30]. There are, however, few papers in the higher dimensional setting – and those that exist appear in the study of 4 dimensional neutral signature Osserman manifolds, see, for example, [6]. In this section we present two families of examples which illustrate many of the phenomena which can arise. In Section 3.3, we exhibit pseudo-Riemannian manifolds in balanced neutral signature [10, 17, 18] and of signature $(2s, s)$ [19]. We refer to [1, 13] for other examples and to [12] for a more complete bibliography.

3.2. Algebraic curvature tensors. It is convenient at this stage to introduce a purely algebraic formalism. Let V be an m dimensional finite dimension real vector space. We say that $A \in \otimes^4 V^*$ is an *algebraic curvature tensor* if A satisfies the usual symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y, z, w) &= -A(y, x, z, w) = A(z, w, x, y), \\ A(x, y, z, w) + A(y, z, x, w) + A(z, x, y, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let g_V be a non-degenerate symmetric inner product of signature (p, q) on V . The associated curvature operator is then characterized by the identity:

$$g_V(A(x, y)z, w) = A(x, y, z, w).$$

Consider a triple $\mathcal{V} := (V, g_V, A)$, where A is an algebraic curvature tensor on V and g is an inner product on V . We say that \mathcal{V} is a *model* for a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) if given any point $P \in M$, there is an isomorphism $\phi_P : T_P M \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi_P^* g_V = g|_{T_P M}$ and $\phi_P^* A = R_P \in \otimes^4 T_P^* M$. Clearly (M, g) is curvature homogeneous if and only if there exists a model \mathcal{V} for (M, g) .

subs-a

3.3. Signature (p, p) . We follow the discussion in [10, 17, 18]. Suppose $p \geq 3$ henceforth. Introduce coordinates $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_p)$ on \mathbb{R}^{2p} . Let \mathcal{O} be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p and let $f = f(x) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. We define a non-degenerate pseudo-Riemannian metric g_f of balanced signature (p, p) on $M := \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^p$ by setting:

$$g_f(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) = \partial_i^x f \cdot \partial_j^x f, \quad g_f(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^y) = g_f(\partial_j^y, \partial_i^x) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_f(\partial_i^y, \partial_j^y) = 0.$$

This pseudo-Riemannian metric arises as a hyper-surface metric. Let

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p, \gamma\}$$

be a basis for \mathbb{R}^{2p+1} . Define an inner product of signature $(p, p+1)$ on \mathbb{R}^{2p+1} whose non-zero components are given, up to the usual \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries, by

$$\langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 1.$$

We define an embedding of $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^p$ as a graph in \mathbb{R}^{2p+1} by setting:

$$\Psi_f(x, y) := x_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + x_p \alpha_p + y_1 \beta_1 + \dots + y_p \beta_p + f(x) \gamma.$$

It is then immediate that $\Psi_f^*\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g_f$. The normal to the surface is:

$$\nu(x, y) = -(\partial_1^x f)\beta_1 - \dots - (\partial_p^x f)\beta_p + \gamma.$$

Let $H_{ij} = \partial_i^x \partial_j^x f \in M_p(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hessian. The second fundamental form L and curvature tensor are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) &= H_{ij}, \quad L(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^y) = L(\partial_j^y, \partial_i^x) = L(\partial_i^y, \partial_j^y) = 0, \\ R(x, y, z, w) &= L(x, w)L(y, z) - L(x, z)L(y, w). \end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero action of the curvature operator is:

$$R(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) : \partial_k^x \rightarrow R_{ijkl} \partial_l^y.$$

The curvature operator is 2-nilpotent as:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Span}\{\partial_i^x\} &\rightarrow \text{Span}\{\partial_i^y\}, \\ R(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Span}\{\partial_i^y\} &\rightarrow \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

As the Ricci operator is nilpotent, (M, g_f) is Ricci flat and Einstein.

Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_p\}$ be a basis for $V := \mathbb{R}^{2p}$. We define an inner product g_V on V of signature (p, p) and an algebraic curvature tensor whose non-zero components are, up to the usual \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} g_V(X_i, Y_j) &= g_V(Y_j, X_i) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{and} \\ A_V(X_i, X_j, X_k, X_l) &= \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_{p,p} := (V, g_V, A_V)$. We then have [10]

thm-3.1

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \geq 2$. Assume that H is positive definite on \mathcal{O} .*

- (1) *(M, f) is curvature homogeneous with model $\mathcal{V}_{p,p}$.*
- (2) *If $p \geq 3$, then (M, g_f) is not locally homogeneous for generic f .*

If $f(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2$, then (M, g_f) is a local symmetric space; thus \mathcal{V} is the model space of a symmetric space of signature (p, p) . These manifolds form a nice family of examples to study the spectral geometry of the Riemann curvature tensor. One has [18]:

thm-3.2x

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that H is non-degenerate.*

- (1) *The manifold (M, g_f) is spacelike and timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova.*
- (2) *The manifold (M, g_f) is not mixed Jordan Ivanov-Petrova.*
- (3) *If $p = 2$ or if $p \geq 3$ and if H is definite, then (M, g_f) is spacelike and timelike Jordan Osserman*
- (4) *If $p \geq 3$ and if H is indefinite, then (M, g_f) is neither spacelike Jordan Osserman nor timelike Jordan Osserman.*

Since $R(\cdot, \cdot)^2 = 0$, the manifolds (M, g_f) are spacelike and timelike Jordan k -Stanilov for $2 \leq k \leq p$. If H is degenerate, then (M, g_f) is neither spacelike nor timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. Thus there are examples of manifolds which are Jordan k -Stanilov but not Jordan Ivanov-Petrova.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{(a,b)}$ be a flat manifold with a metric of signature (a, b) . One has [18]:

thm-3.3

Theorem 3.3. *Assume H_f is definite. Let $N := M \times \mathbb{R}^{(a,b)}$ and let g_N be the product metric on N .*

- (1) *For generic f , (N, g_N) is not locally homogeneous if $p \geq 2$.*
- (2) *The manifold (N, g_N) is not mixed Jordan IP.*
- (3) *Suppose that $a > 0$ and that $b = 0$. Then (N, g_N) is neither timelike Jordan Osserman nor timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. Furthermore (N, g_N) is spacelike Jordan Osserman and spacelike Jordan IP.*
- (4) *Suppose that $a = 0$ and that $b > 0$. Then (N, g_N) is timelike Jordan Osserman and timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova. Furthermore (N, g_N) is neither spacelike Jordan Osserman nor spacelike Jordan IP.*

- (5) Suppose that $a > 0$ and that $b > 0$. Then (N, g_N) is neither timelike Jordan Osserman nor timelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova nor spacelike Jordan Osserman nor spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova.

One also has [17]:

thm-3.x

Theorem 3.4. Assume that H is definite. Then (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman

- (1) of types $(r, 0)$ and $(p - r, q + b)$ if $a = 0$ and if $0 < r \leq p$;
- (2) of types $(0, s)$ and $(p + a, q - s)$ if $b = 0$ and if $0 < s \leq p$;
- (3) of types $(r, 0)$ and $(p + a - r, q + b)$ if $a > 0$ and if $a + 2 \leq r \leq p + a$;
- (4) of types $(0, s)$ and $(p + q, q + b - s)$ if $b > 0$ and if $b + 2 \leq s \leq q + b$.

(N, g_N) is not Jordan Osserman for other values of (r, s) .

subs-3.4

3.4. Manifolds of signature $(s, 2s)$. The second family arises in signature $(2s, s)$ for $s \geq 2$. Let $\vec{u} := (u_1, \dots, u_s)$, $\vec{t} := (t_1, \dots, t_s)$, and $\vec{v} := (v_1, \dots, v_s)$ give coordinates $(\vec{u}, \vec{t}, \vec{v})$ on \mathbb{R}^{3s} for $s \geq 2$. Let

$$F(\vec{u}) := f_1(u_1) + \dots + f_s(u_s)$$

be a smooth function on an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$. Define a pseudo-Riemannian metric g_F of signature $(2s, s)$ on $M := \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^{2s}$ whose non-zero components are:

$$\begin{aligned} g_F(\partial_i^u, \partial_i^u) &= -2F(\vec{u}) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} u_i t_i, \\ g_F(\partial_i^u, \partial_i^v) &= g_F(\partial_i^v, \partial_i^u) = 1, \\ g_F(\partial_i^t, \partial_i^t) &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

We also define the corresponding model spaces. Let

$$\{U_1, \dots, U_s, T_1, \dots, T_s, V_1, \dots, V_s\}$$

be a basis for \mathbb{R}^{3s} . Let $\mathcal{V}_{3s} := (\mathbb{R}^{3s}, g_V, A_V)$, where the non-zero entries of the inner product g_V and of the algebraic curvature tensor R_V , up to the usual \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries, are:

$$\begin{aligned} g_V(U_i, V_i) &= 1, \quad g_V(T_i, T_i) = -1, \quad \text{and} \\ R_V(U_i, U_j, U_j, T_i) &= 1 \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

One then has [19]:

thm-3.4

Theorem 3.5. The manifolds (M, g_F) are curvature homogeneous with model \mathcal{V}_{3s} . They are not locally homogeneous for generic F .

It is again immediate from an examination of the model space that

$$\begin{aligned} R(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Span}\{U_i\} &\rightarrow \text{Span}\{T_i, V_i\}, \\ R(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Span}\{T_i\} &\rightarrow \text{Span}\{V_i\}, \\ R(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Span}\{V_i\} &\rightarrow \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the curvature operator is 3-nilpotent. As the Ricci operator is nilpotent, the manifold (M, g_F) is Ricci flat and Einstein. One also has [19]:

thm-3.6

Theorem 3.6. We have that (M, g_F) is:

- (1) spacelike Jordan Osserman but not timelike Jordan Osserman;
- (2) k -spacelike higher order Jordan Osserman for $2 \leq k \leq s$;
- (3) k -timelike higher order Jordan Osserman if and only if $s + 2 \leq k \leq 2s$;
- (4) spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova;
- (5) neither timelike nor mixed Jordan Ivanov-Petrova;
- (6) spacelike Jordan k -Stanilov for $2 \leq k \leq s$;
- (7) timelike Jordan k -Stanilov if and only if $k = 2s$.

Since these manifolds are Ricci flat, $W = R$. Consequently, we have as well that

thm-3.xx

Theorem 3.7. We have that (M, g_F) is:

- (1) *conformally spacelike Jordan Osserman but not conformally timelike Jordan Osserman*;
- (2) *conformally spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova*;
- (3) *neither conformally timelike nor conformally mixed Jordan Ivanov-Petrova*.

We remark that the rank of the skew-symmetric curvature operator is 4; these are the only known examples of spacelike Jordan Ivanov-Petrova manifolds which have rank 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research of P. Gilkey partially supported by the MPI (Leipzig). Research of S. Nikčević partially supported by the Dierks Von Zweck Stiftung (Essen), DAAD (Germany) and MM 1646 (Srbija). The second and fourth authors thank the Technical University of Berlin where some of the research reported here was conducted.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Blažić, N. Bokan, and Z. Rakić, *Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (2, 2)*, Aust. Math. Soc. **71**, (2001), 367–395.
- [2] N. Blažić, N. Bokan and P. Gilkey, *A Note on Osserman Lorentzian manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), 227–230.
- [3] N. Blažić and P. Gilkey, *Conformally Osserman manifolds and conformally complex space forms*, (preprint).
- [4] N. Blažić, P. Gilkey, S. Nikčević, and U. Simon, *The spectral geometry of the Weyl conformal tensor*, (preprint) math.DG/0310226.
- [5] E. Boeckx and L. Vanhecke, *Curvature homogeneous unit tangent sphere bundles*, Publ. Math. **53** (1998), 389–413.
- [6] A. Bonome, P. Castro, and E. García-Río, *Generalized Osserman four-dimensional manifolds*, Classical Quantum Gravity **18** (2001), 4813–4822.
- [7] P. Bueken and M. Djorić, *Three-dimensional Lorentz metrics and curvature homogeneity of order one*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18** (2000), 85–103.
- [8] P. Bueken and L. Vanhecke, *Examples of curvature homogeneous Lorentz metrics*, Classical Quantum Gravity **14** (1997), L93–L96.
- [9] Q.-S. Chi, *A curvature characterization of certain locally rank-one symmetric spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), 187–202.
- [10] C. Dunn and P. B. Gilkey, *Curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds which are not locally homogeneous*, math.DG/0306072.
- [11] E. García-Río, D. Kupeli and M. E. Vázquez-Abal, *On a problem of Osserman in Lorentzian geometry*, Differential Geom. Appl. **7** (1997), 85–100.
- [12] E. García-Río, D. Kupeli, and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, **Osserman Manifolds in Semi-Riemannian Geometry**, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, (2002), ISBN 3-540-43144-6.
- [13] E. García-Río, M. E. Vázquez-Abal and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, *Nonsymmetric Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 2771–2778.
- [14] P. Gilkey, **Geometric Properties of Natural Operators Defined by the Riemann Curvature Tensor**, World Scientific Press (2001), ISBN 981-02-04752-4.
- [15] P. Gilkey, *Algebraic curvature tensors which are p Osserman*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **14** (2001), 297–311.
- [16] P. Gilkey, *Riemannian manifolds whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues II*, **Differential geometry and applications** (ed Kolar, Kowalski, Krupka, and Slovak) Publ Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, 1999 ISBN 80-210-2097-0, 73–87.
- [17] P. Gilkey, R. Ivanova, and T. Zhang, *Higher order Jordan Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Classical Quantum Gravity **19** (2002), 4543–4551.
- [18] P. Gilkey, R. Ivanova, and T. Zhang, *Szabo Osserman IP Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **62** (2003), 387–401.
- [19] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, *Curvature Homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian Spacelike Jordan Osserman Manifolds*, preprint.
- [20] P. Gilkey, J. V. Leahy, and H. Sadofsky, *Riemannian manifolds whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), 615–634.
- [21] P. Gilkey, S. Nikčević, and V. Videv, *Manifolds which are Ivanov-Petrova or k -Stanilov*, (preprint) math.DG/0310118.

- [22] P. Gilkey and I. Stavrov, *Curvature tensors whose Jacobi or Szabó operator is nilpotent on null vectors*, Bull. London Math Soc. **34** (2002), 650–658.
- [23] P. Gilkey and T. Zhang, *Algebraic curvature tensors for indefinite metrics whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant Jordan normal form*, Houston J. Math. **28** (2002), 311–328.
- [24] S. Ivanov and I. Petrova, *Riemannian manifold in which the skew-symmetric curvature operator has pointwise constant eigenvalues*, Geom. Dedicata **70** (1998), 269–282.
- [25] R. Ivanova and G. Stanilov, *A skew-symmetric curvature operator in Riemannian geometry*, in **Sympos. Gaussian, Conf A**, ed. Behara, Fritsch, and Lintz (1995), 391–395.
- [26] O. Kowalski, F. Tricerri, and L. Vanhecke, *New examples of non-homogeneous Riemannian manifolds whose curvature tensor is that of a Riemannian symmetric space*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I **311** (1990), 355–360.
- [27] O. Kowalski, F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **71** (1992), 471–501.
- [28] Y. Nikolayevsky, *Two theorems on Osserman manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **18** (2003), 239–253.
- [29] Y. Nikolayevsky, *Osserman Conjecture in dimension $n \neq 8, 16$* ; math.DG/0204258.
- [30] B. Opozda, *On curvature homogeneous and locally homogeneous affine connections*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1889–1893.
- [31] R. Osserman, *Curvature in the eighties*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **97**, (1990) 731–756.
- [32] G. Stanilov, *Curvature operators based on the skew-symmetric curvature operator and their place in the Differential Geometry*, preprint (2000).
- [33] G. Stanilov, *Higher order skew-symmetric and symmetric curvature operators*, preprint (2003).
- [34] G. Stanilov and V. Videv, *Four dimensional pointwise Osserman manifolds*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **68**, (1998), 1–6.
- [35] I. Stavrov, *Spectral Geometry of the Riemann Curvature Tensor*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Oregon (2003).
- [36] G. Tsagas, *The spectrum of the Laplace operator for a Riemannian manifold*, J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math. Ser. **7** (1994), 11–16.
- [37] G. Tsagas, *The spectrum of the Laplace operator for bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^n* , Proceedings of the Workshop on Global Analysis, Differential Geometry, Lie Algebras (Thessaloniki, 1996), 93–103, BSG Proc., 3, Geom. Balkan Press, Bucharest, 1999.
- [38] G. Tsagas, and K. Tsouros, *The spectrum of the Laplace operator on q -forms of a Riemannian manifold*, J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math. Ser. **4** (1991), 271–286.
- [39] J. Tzankov and V. Videv, *A Riemannian pointwise Stanilov manifolds of type (n, k)* , Abstracts of 4th International Conference on Geometry and Applications, Varna, 1999, 62–63.
- [40] L. Vanhecke, *Curvature homogeneity and related problems*, Recent topics in differential geometry, Proc. Workshop/Puerto de la Cruz/Spain 1990, Ser. Inf. 32 (1991), 103–122.
- [41] T. Zhang, *Applications of algebraic topology in bounding the rank of the skew-symmetric curvature operator*, *Topology and Appl.* (to appear).

CD AND PG: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OREGON 97403 USA. EMAIL: CDUNN@DARKWING.UOREGON.EDU AND GILKEY@DARKWING.UOREGON.EDU

RI: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII-HILO, 200 WEST KAWILI ST. HILO, HI 96720-4091 USA. EMAIL: RIVANOVA@HAWAII.EDU

SN: MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SANU, KNEZ MIHAILOVA 35, P.P. 367, 11001 BELGRADE, YUGOSLAVIA. EMAIL: STANAN@MI.SANU.AC.YU